EX PARTE OR LATE FILED COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, E.L.P.

T. SCOTT THOMPSON
WRITER'S E-MAIL
STHOMPSON@CRBLAW.COM

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3458

TELEPHONE (202) 659-9750

FAX (202) 452-0067

WWW.CRBI 4W.COM

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

NOV 2 9 2002

FCC - MAILROOM

LOS ANGELES SPESSE

238 | ROSECRANS AVENUE, SUITE IIO

EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA 90245-4290

TELEPHONE (310) 643-7999

Fax (3IO) 643-7997

ORIGINAL

November 15,2002

ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ex Parte Presentations CS Docket No. 95-184 and MM Docket No. 92-260

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The following is notice pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, that on November 14,2002, on behalf of Charter Communications, T. Scott Thompson of Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP, and Trudi Foushee and Marvin Rappaport of Charter Communications met separately with Stacy Robinson, Mass Media Legal Advisor to Commissioner Abemathy, Alexis Johns, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps, Susan Eid, Legal Advisor to Chairman Powell, and Catherine Bohigian, Legal Advisor on Mass Media Issues to Commissioner Martin to discuss issues pending as a result of petitions for reconsideration and the Commission's Further Notice in the above referenced dockets.

During the meetings, Charter's representatives generally restated the positions set forth in Charter's comments in the Dockets. Specifically, they reiterated Charter's belief that the Commission should not adopt any limitation on exclusive agreements between residential MDU owners and operators, that the Commission should not adopt regulations permitting MDU owners a "fresh look" to renegotiate perpetual exclusive agreements, that the Commission, in order to avoid constitutional takings issues and distortion of the marketplace, must continue to permit cable operators the option of removing their home run wires and facilities in the event of termination by an MDU owner, and that the Commission's inside wiring rules should apply to all MVPDs. Charter's representatives also expressed Charter's belief that competition in the residential MDU context has been robust since the adoption of the Commission's rules in 1997, and that competition continues to grow. Finally, they expressed Charter's belief that the Commission exceeded its statutory authority in the current rules, and reminded the Commission

No. of Copies rec'd 2

COL RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, L.L.P

November 15,2002 Page 2

that an appeal of the Commission's rules has been pending in the Eighth Circuit while the Commission addresses the issues on reconsideration and in the Further Notice.

If there are any questions regarding the above-described meetings, please contact the undersigned counsel for Charter.

Sincerely,

T. Scott Thompson

cc: Susan Eid
Stacey Robinson
Alexis Johns
Catherine Bohigian
Trudi Foushee
Marvin Rappaport